G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1898-99

)

File No. 172 of 98-99

Serial Nos. 1-5

~~Papers Filed.~~ B

Month June 99

SUBJECT.

Confirmation of proceedings of a court Martial

M. S. Krishnaswami

Submitted
B. A. K. Ayengar

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.

189

Memorandum

~~From~~ The Military Assistant to the Government of Myso

To

mitted for orders of
ie Dewan

Dated

~~Despatched~~
Subject- Confirmation
~~Received~~ of Proceedings of
General No. a Court-Martial
No.

~~Enclosure~~ No. 39

I submit the Proceedi
for favr. of permit in
to reassemble the Court
martial & revise the
sentence which seem
to me. somewhat in ad
the Judge Advocate & whom
I sent the Proceedings
the C.M. is at my op

H. Jones

15/5/99.

15/5/99

I quite agree
some inaccuracies

15/5/99

11

Confidential

SECTION Mily — Bangalore

FILE No. 172 of 98-99 — 6/5/99

SERIAL No. 71 — Jasken

Before confirming the sentence Govt would like your opinion on the sentence to be awarded.

I think you are of opinion that the Chanda Fund Donation should not be forfeited except for Mutinous conduct or desertion in time of war.

The punishment is one which falls equally on a man & family with himself and perhaps imprisonment in the guard room or if thought necessary with H. Labour in Jail would meet this case.

Yours Sincerely

(Sd) RG Jones.

To Captn Jasken
I.O. 1st.

Copy of Sentence

The Court sentence the prisoner
No 177 Sepoy Sheik Amin,
Imperial Service Regt to be fined
Rs 50/- (fifty) from Chanda
Fund with forfeiture of pay for
180 days (including period

5/5/88

(Sd) B Chamney Lt Col
President

III

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTING OFFICER,
HYDERABAD & MYSORE [illegible] AVALRY
9th May 19[illegible]

SECTION Mily
FILE No. 172 & 98-99
SERIAL No. 2

My dear Jon

With reference to your confidential letter of 6th May

(1) I do not consider that forfeiture of Chanda fund donation is a suitable punishment for any offence except (a) mutiny (b) desertion on, or before, active service or (c) offences coming under para 83 if the amount at issue is too large for ordinary stoppage out of pay.

(2) In the case under reference, I think that the Mysore Govt might in communicating the decision not to confirm for the reasons given in (1) (which might, at some suitable time, be communicated to the ranks) order the Court Martial (under para 64) to reassemble to revise their sentence

(3) As the prisoner has a clean sheet, & as punishments have already been awarded by the 3 former Courts-Martial sufficient to act as deterrents for this offence, perhaps Imp. with H. L. for 2 months in the regimental cells (as not involving dismissal from the service) would be sufficient, involving of course loss of pay

under para 4 (e). A sentence of deprivation of G.C.P under the new G.C.P. rule {2} should be added.

(4) It might be pointed out to the Chief Constable, under the Mysore Military Regn 189 except in the case of an officer, only 2 members are required.

(5) Kindly send me 3 copies of the Mysore Military Regn

Yours Sincerely

Dr. M. Franklin

Gen. 28.

1432 7
3/689

189 9

From The Chief Commandt
Mysore State Forces

To The Secretary, to the
Government of Mysore
Mily Dept

SECTION Mily

FILE NO. 122 of 98-99

No. 4

Dated 32 }
Despatched 32 } June

Received

General No. 2068.
No.

Enclosure No.

Has the honour to
send herewith the
proceedings of a
Court-martial for
Confirmation by Your

J Desvaux
Lt Col
Chief Commandant
Mysore State Forces

Central Jail Press, Bangalore.—5-10-98.—80,502.

23]

No 1850

MILITARY ASSISTANT'S OFFICE.

DATED 7th June 1899

FROM

THE MILITARY ASSISTANT TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

SECTION Mily

D.O. No. 172 of 98-99

To, No. 8

To

The Chief Commandant
Mysore State Troops

Sir,

I have the honor to return the Proceedings of the Court Martial forward with your letter No 2068 dated 3rd instant, with the revised Sentence of imprisonment, for two months, with hard labor, to be carried out in the Regimental Guard Room, passed on Prisoner No 177 Silledar Sheik Amin duly confirmed by Government.

I have etc.

Asst. Secy. Mily. Dept